# Appendix B: BRIDGE PERMIT AppLICATION

## THE APPLICATION PACKAGE

**The application package consists of the following information. Submit information in the format outlined below. If any section is not applicable to the project, state why it is not applicable. This BPAG Applicant Template is also provided on the Bridge Program public website:** [**https://www.dco.uscg.mil/Our-Organization/Assistant-Commandant-for-Prevention-Policy-CG-5P/Marine-Transportation-Systems-CG-5PW/Office-of-Bridge-Programs/Bridge-Permit-Application-Process/.**](https://www.dco.uscg.mil/Our-Organization/Assistant-Commandant-for-Prevention-Policy-CG-5P/Marine-Transportation-Systems-CG-5PW/Office-of-Bridge-Programs/Bridge-Permit-Application-Process/.)

### Per 33 CFR § 115.50(j), submit application materials to the Coast Guard District Bridge Office that has jurisdiction over the area of the proposed bridge site.

Salutation (i.e., Dear Sir/Ma’am):

Application is hereby made for a Coast Guard bridge permit.

Application Date:

Click or tap to enter a date.

#### Applicant information :

##### Name (company/agency and POC name):

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Address:

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Telephone number; and

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Email address:

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Consultant/Agent information (if employed):

#### Check here if not applicable and leave this section blank:

##### Name (company/agency and POC name):

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Address:

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Telephone number:

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Email address; and

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Document authorizing the consultant/agent to obtain permits on behalf of the applicant:

Cite enclosure(s) in the application package, list title and date of document(s), as appropriate:

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Name of Proposed Bridge(s) (must be consistent with the plan sheet title block) :

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Name of the waterway that the bridge(s) is located in or over:

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Number of miles above the mouth of the waterway where the bridge(s) would be located and provide latitude and longitude coordinates (degree/minute/second) at centerline of navigation channel (Lat/Long must be determined using WGS-84 datum. Contact the local Coast Guard Bridge Office for guidance if needed):

##### Milepoint Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Lat/Long Click or tap here to enter text.

##### City or town, county/parish, and state where the bridge(s) would be located at, near, or between:

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Brief description of project to include action being taken, type of bridge(s) proposed [fixed or movable (drawbridge, bascule, vertical lift, swing span, pontoon, etc.), highway, railway, pedestrian, pipeline] and existing bridge(s) at project site, if applicable:

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Is this project a design-build or alternate design project:

Yes  No

If yes, provide a brief description:

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Date of plans and number of plan sheets (i.e., 1 of 4, indicate if revised, include multiple dates when necessary, etc.):

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Provide the estimated cost of the bridge(s) and approaches with proposed vertical and horizontal navigational clearances:

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Identify the type(s) and source(s) of project funding (federal, state, private, etc.):

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Describe the proposed project timeline (from permit to construction completion, e.g., NEPA scoping, NEPA document completion, request for bids, designs complete, build starts, construction complete, etc.):

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Identify any other Federal actions (e.g., permits, permissions, approvals, or consultations, etc.) associated with the proposal:

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Identify any other non-Federal agency actions (e.g., permits, permissions, approvals, or consultations) associated with the proposal:

Click or tap here to enter text.

Agency(s):

Click or tap here to enter text.

Permits or types of approvals required for the project:

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Legal authority for proposed action :

##### Is the applicant a state or municipal agency with eminent domain authority over private, state, and/or local property? (If yes, the primary authority will be presumed without proof)

Yes  No  N/A

If yes, please identify what agency has eminent domain authority:

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### If there is an existing bridge(s) being replaced or modified, and the applicant does not own it, include a signed statement from the bridge owner authorizing the removal or modification work.

N/A, applicant owns the existing bridge

Cite enclosure(s) in the application package, list title and date of document(s), as appropriate:

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### For privately owned bridges, state whether the applicant has the right to build in accordance with 33 CFR § 115.05. If the applicant does not own the property needed to build the bridge(s) as proposed, include a signed statement (e.g., deed or easement) from the property owner or owners authorizing the proposed construction or modification work.

N/A, not a privately owned bridge

Privately owned, applicant has right to build

Cite enclosure(s) in the application package, list title and date of document(s), as appropriate:

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### For international bridges (if applicable) check which authority the bridge(s) is being built or modified under :

N/A

The International Bridge Act of 1972

The Coast Guard requires Presidential approval, via the State Department, before issuing a bridge permit under the International Bridge Act of 1972. Include a copy with the bridge permit application as appropriate. Cite provided enclosure title and date of document:

Click or tap here to enter text.

Special Act of Congress

#### Cite the appropriate legislative authority:

Click or tap here to enter text.

**NOTE:Please include a copy of State Department approval for international bridges in the application package for a Coast Guard bridge permit.**

#### Dimensions of the navigation opening (All navigational clearances should be stated in U.S. linear feet in decimal form (not feet and inches). For international bridges, provide clearances in both linear feet and meters):

##### Vertical clearance(s) as indicated on plan sheets *(Note, this is the minimum vertical distance between the lowest part (e.g., member, chord, or steel) of the superstructure spanning the navigation channel and the recognized high water elevation (e.g., MHW, OHW, 2% flow line, etc.) at the bridge site. Cite clearances above the appropriate high water elevation. In the case of movable bridges, cite clearances in the open and closed positions. In some situations, vertical clearances should be cited at the margins of the navigation channel, and for a bascule bridge clearances at the tip of the open leaves. Include multiple clearances when appropriate. Note if the clearances are different between the final plan sheets and those published with the Public Notice (PN))*:

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Horizontal clearance(s) as indicated on plan sheets *(Note, this is the horizontal distance, measured normal to the axis (centerline) of the channel, through which the stated vertical clearance is available. Clearance(s) may be between piers (full width of the span), between the bridge protective system, within the margins of the navigational channel, or bank-to-bank in the case of a bridge having no piers or bridge pier protective system within the waterway. Note if the clearances are different between the final plan sheets and those published with the Public Notice (PN). Also, list both clearances if there is a difference in the distance between piers and the distance measured normal to the axis of the channel)*:

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Length of bridge(s) project *(Note this is the length of the bridge(s) project as indicated on the plan sheets from abutment-to-abutment or approach-to-approach.)*:

Click or tap here to enter text.

If this is a modification or replacement project, is the length the same as the existing bridge?

N/A, not a modification or replacement

Yes  No

If no, note the difference in length between the existing and proposed bridges.

N/A

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Width of bridge(s) project *(Note this is the width of the bridge(s) at its widest point (out-to-out) as indicated on the plan sheets.)*:

Click or tap here to enter text.

###### If this is a modification or replacement project, is the width the same as the existing bridge?

N/A, not a modification or replacement project

Yes  No

###### If no, note the difference in width between the existing and proposed bridges.

N/A

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Temporary structure(s) or bridge(s). *(Note a temporary work trestle/platform does not span the waterway and is solely used for construction purposes. A temporary bridge will span the waterway, including the navigational channel, and is used for transportation or construction purposes)*. If a temporary structure or bridge will be required, provide the following as applicable:

#### N/A, no temporary structure or bridge, this section left blank

##### Description of the temporary structure(s):

##### N/A, no temporary structure

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Description of the temporary bridge(s):

##### N/A, no temporary bridge

Click or tap here to enter text.

###### Vertical clearance(s), as indicated on plan sheets *(For a temporary structure, only required if it crosses a navigation channel)*:

###### N/A, temporary structure does not cross the navigation channel

Click or tap here to enter text.

###### Horizontal clearance(s) as indicated on plan sheets *(List both clearances if there is a difference in the distance between piers and the distance measured normal to the axis of the channel. For a temporary structure, only required if it encroaches upon a navigation channel)*:

###### N/A, temporary structure does not encroach on the navigation channel

Click or tap here to enter text.

###### Length of the proposed temporary structure(s)/bridge(s):

Click or tap here to enter text.

###### Width of the proposed temporary structure(s)/bridge(s):

Click or tap here to enter text.

###### Identify the schedule and extent of removal(s) for the temporary structure(s)/bridge(s):

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Existing bridge(s) at the project site, if applicable:

N/A, no existing bridge, this section left blank.

##### Name of existing bridge(s): (e.g., US 40 Highway Bridge; or Coleman Memorial Bridge; or State Route 7 Bridge also known as Preston Falls Bridge):

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Waterway milepoint (in statute miles):

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Type of bridge(s) and description (number of lanes, spans, fixed or moveable (drawbridge, bascule, vertical lift, swing span, pontoon, etc.); highway, railway, pedestrian, pipeline, etc.);

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### For movable spans identify the existing drawbridge operating regulation governing the structure (if applicable):

##### N/A, fixed bridge

###### If the existing bridge(s) has a movable span, identify whether its operating schedule is regulated by 33 CFR § 117.5 or if it operates under a special operating regulation found in 33 CFR Part 117 Subpart B (if so, cite the regulation):

Click or tap here to enter text.

###### Modification of an existing drawbridge may require revision or removal of the existing regulation (e.g., if the bridge project involves replacing the existing drawbridge with a fixed bridge). Contact the local Coast Guard District Bridge Office to determine if the existing regulation will transfer to the new bridge, if a new regulation will be proposed, if it will be removed, or if there will be no change required. Identify the anticipated status of the drawbridge regulation (e.g., regulation transferred, new regulation, regulation removed, no regulation change,):

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Latitude and longitude coordinates (degree/minute/second) at centerline of the existing bridge(s) based on WGS-84 horizontal datum:

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Dimensions of the existing bridge(s): *(The proposed and existing vertical clearances must be compared using the same datums. This may require surveying the existing bridge. All navigational clearances should be stated in U.S. linear decimal feet. In addition, provide clearances in meters if an international bridge(s))*:

###### Vertical clearance(s) as built (include both the open and closed-to-navigation clearances for movable spans):

Click or tap here to enter text.

###### For modification and replacement projects, the applicant must cite the vertical clearance of the existing bridge and the proposed bridge from the same datum. If the vertical datum for the existing bridge differs from the proposed vertical datum (tidal referenced to geodetic), show all necessary converted vertical clearance values and note the original values in the notes section on the plan sheets to demonstrate any change in approved clearances. If conversions (i.e., Mean Low Tide to Mean Low Water) cannot be made, it is necessary for the applicant to survey the existing bridge to provide as-built clearances using the same verifiable vertical datum (tidal and geodetic) as the proposed project.

###### Horizontal clearance as built:

Click or tap here to enter text.

###### Length of existing bridge(s):

Click or tap here to enter text.

###### Width of existing bridge(s): (This is the width of the bridge(s) at its widest point (out-to-out)):

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Owner of the existing bridge(s):

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Previous permit authority (or authorities), date(s) of permit and/or amendments, including issuing agency (cite enclosure(s) when available):

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### If available include copies of previous permit(s) and plans with application (cite enclosure when available):

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Construction methodology, if known, and removal plans for existing bridge(s) , as applicable:

##### Discuss proposed construction methodology and restrictions if known:

N/A, construction methodology not known

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Discuss maintenance of land traffic during construction activities:

N/A, land traffic maintenance not required

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Discuss extent of removal of existing bridge(s) (e.g., in its entirety, down to or below the natural bottom of the waterway, to a specific elevation, etc.), including parts in the water and on land (if applicable) and time needed for removal. Cite all correspondence that influenced removal depths:

N/A, no existing bridge

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### Discuss demolition methodology:

N/A, no existing bridge

Click or tap here to enter text.

**NOTE:In the interest of navigational safety, the Coast Guard must make the final decision concerning the extent of bridge(s) removal.**

## WATERWAY DATA REQUIREMENTS:

**Contact the local Coast Guard District Bridge Office to determine if a navigation impact report is required and what data should be included (see Appendix A) for the proposed project. The information will assist the Coast Guard in making a preliminary navigation clearance determination, when applicable, which will inform alternatives that will be analyzed in the environmental documentation.**

## ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION:

**NOTE:See Appendix E for a table of the Environmental Laws, Executive Orders, and Regulations Requiring Compliance, as applicable, impacting Bridge Program actions.**

**NOTE: All Bridge Program actions must conform with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 (42 U.S.C. § 4321), as amended by the Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2023, and all applicable Executive Orders and Acts currently in force affecting the environmental review for the permitting of infrastructure.** **For all of the below environmental laws, Executive Orders, and regulations, the Coast Guard requests specific decision documents as part of the application.**

### **National Environmental Policy Act -** The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (42 USC 4321, et seq.) requires federal agencies to analyze the impacts of their proposed major federal actions on the human environment before the action is taken by considering the natural and physical environment and the relationship of the people with that environment. Coast Guard bridge permits are major federal actions that require the preparation of an environmental evaluation document describing the potential environmental effects under NEPA.

#### Lead Federal Agency:

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### List any Cooperating Agencies for the project:

None

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Type of environmental document prepared by the Lead Federal Agency (check applicable document):

Environmental Impact Statement/Record of Decision (EIS/ROD)

Cite enclosure(s) in the application package, list title and date of document(s), as appropriate:

Click or tap here to enter text.

Environmental Assessment/Finding of No Significant Impact (EA/FONSI)

Cite enclosure(s) in the application package, list title and date of document(s), as appropriate:

Click or tap here to enter text.

Categorical Exclusion (CE)

Cite enclosure(s) in the application package, list title and date of document(s), as appropriate:

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Has the environmental document been modified, reevaluated, supplemented or rescinded for the proposed action?

Yes  No

If yes, summarize and cite enclosure title(s) in the application package and cite date(s) for all documents as appropriate:

N/A, document has not been modified, reevaluated, supplemented or rescinded

Click or tap here to enter text.

### **Environmental Effects Abroad -** Executive Order 12114 on the Environmental Effects Abroad of Major Federal Actions requires all federal agencies taking actions that may or will significantly harm the physical or natural environment of other nations or the global commons to take environmental considerations into account for that action.

#### Does the proposed project involve a bridge connection to Canada or Mexico?

Yes  No

#### If yes, cite location(s) (including page number(s) as appropriate) in the environmental documentation where environmental effects abroad are described *(If a proposed project has environmental impacts outside of the geographical borders of the United States and its territories it shall be discussed in the environmental document. Summarize the impacts, proposed mitigation, and the Department of State and Council on Environmental Quality consultation findings here.)*:

N/A, no international connection

Click or tap here to enter text.

### **Clean Water Act, Section 401: Water Quality Certification -** Section 401 of the Clean Water Act of 1977(CWA) (33 U.S.C. 1251), as amended, prohibits Federal permitting or licensing agencies from issuing authorizations for construction activities having discharges into navigable waters, until the appropriate water quality certifying agency has issued a water quality certification or waiver procedures have been satisfied.

#### Has a Water Quality Certification (WQC), waiver or statement that the WQC is not required been obtained for a Coast Guard bridge permit from the appropriate federal, interstate, or state agency?

Issued for a Coast Guard bridge permit

Issued for a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) permit/approval that includes a Coast Guard bridge permit

Waived

Denied

Other/Not Required

**NOTE: The USCG will not accept an application package as complete if a WQC, waiver, or statement from the appropriate regulatory body has not been obtained.**

#### Name of Federal, State or Tribal certifying agency/agencies, date(s) of certification, and corresponding enclosure(s) title:

N/A, WQC not issued

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### WQC expiration date (If not applicable, explain why):

N/A

Click or tap to enter a date.

#### If the WQC is granted for a Coast Guard bridge permit under a USACE Nationwide Permit (NWP) or other state resource or regional permit, include the date of the permit and its expiration date if applicable, identify the permit type (NWP 14, 15, etc.) and the permit number and title as applicable:

N/A, WQC for a Coast Guard bridge permit is not granted under this type of permit

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### If waived, denied or not required, summarize why and cite enclosure(s) in the application package of supporting material:

N/A, WQC for a Coast Guard bridge permit is not waived, denied or not required

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### For permit amendment actions, include a new WQC for a Coast Guard bridge permit or a written confirmation from the certifying agency that the existing WQC has been reissued/renewed or is still valid for the proposed action.

N/A, not a permit amendment action

New WQC attached (cite enclosure):

Click or tap here to enter text.

Written confirmation of WQC validity attached (cite enclosure):

Click or tap here to enter text.

### **Clean Water Act, Section 404 and Protection of Wetlands -** Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) establishes a program to regulate the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States, including wetlands. Section 404 requires a permit from the US Army Corps before dredged or fill material may be discharged into waters of the United States. Also, per the Executive Order 11990 on the Protection of Wetlands, no federally approved project will occur in wetlands unless there is no practical alternative to constructing in the wetlands. As a result, the Coast Guard must analyze alternative locations which avoid taking wetlands. If no alternative locations or designs are practicable, then the Coast Guard must ensure that the project design includes all practicable measures to minimize wetland impacts.

#### Is the proposed project located in or adjacent to a wetland?

Yes  No

If no, provide explanation:

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### If yes, what is the area (acreage) of wetlands that will be permanently and temporarily impacted by the proposed project?

N/A, minimal or no permanent or temporary impacts

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Describe any wetland mitigation and cite enclosure(s) (including page number(s), as appropriate).

N/A, minimal or no permanent or temporary impacts

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Does the proposed project include the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States, including wetlands (e.g., in-water work to construct or remove piers) that requires a USACE permit (nationwide authorization or individual)?

Yes  No

#### If yes, note the date the 404 permit was issued, the date it expires, and cite the enclosure title or provide a statement of no concern from the USACE:

Click or tap here to enter text.

### **Coastal Zone Management Act -** The CoastalZoneManagementAct **(**CZMA**)** of 1972 (16 U.S.C. § 1451), as amended, and its implementing regulations (15 CFR Part 930), requires all projects located within the designated coastal zone of a state to be consistent with the State's federally approved Coastal Zone Management plan (CZMP).

#### Is the project located within the boundaries of a State’s approved CZMP?

Yes  No, not located within the boundaries of an approved CZMP

#### If yes, has the State specifically excluded this activity from its federally approved CZMP?

N/A, not located within the boundaries of an approved CZMP

Yes  No, activity is not excluded

#### List applicant certification, date, and corresponding enclosure. Cite page number in environmental document, if applicable:

N/A, certification not required

#### Click or tap here to enter text.

#### List state(s) concurrence, date(s), and corresponding enclosure(s). Cite page number in environmental document, if applicable:

#### N/A, concurrence not required

### Click or tap here to enter text.

### **Floodplain Management -** Executive Order 11988 on Floodplain Management requires all federal agencies to avoid authorizing projects in the base (100-year) floodplain unless there is no practical alternative. By their very nature, most bridges are located within the base floodplain. Therefore, the Coast Guard must ensure that the project design includes all measures practicable to minimize floodplain impacts and to protect the natural and beneficial values of the floodplain.

#### Is the proposed project located in the base (100-year) floodplain?

Yes  No, not within the base (100-year) floodplain

#### If yes, is there an encroachment into the base (100-year) floodplain? (An encroachment does not exist when only the piers, pilings, or pile bents are located in the floodplain.)

Yes  No  N/A, not in the base (100-year) floodplain

* 1. If yes, describe the encroachment, including any change to the floodplain elevation, quantities of fill removed (if any), fill placed, and net new fill (cite enclosure, page number, etc.):

N/A, no encroachment

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### If no, describe how the project avoids encroachment into the base floodplain. Provide evidence through hydrologic and hydraulic analysis performed in accordance with standard engineering practice that the proposed project will not increase the base floodplain elevation at the project location, i.e., demonstrate no rise to the base floodplain elevation. Cite all enclosures (including page number(s) as appropriate):

N/A, not in the base (100-year) floodplain

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Is there a significant encroachment (constituting a considerable probability of loss of human life; likely future damage associated with the encroachment that could be substantial in cost or extent; or a notable adverse impact on natural and beneficial floodplain values) into the floodplain?

Yes  No  N/A, not in the base (100-year) floodplain

#### If yes, provide documentation/coordination and cite enclosure(s) in the application package:

N/A, no significant encroachment

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Provide the 100-year flood elevation:

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Provide low steel/member elevation for the proposed bridge:

Click or tap here to enter text.

### **Wild and Scenic Rivers -** Section 7 of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968 (16 U.S.C. § 1271), as amended, prohibits the issuance of any federal permit for construction of projects having adverse impacts on a river, or a proposed river, and adjacent lands with values qualifying it for protection under this Act.

#### Is the river involved in the proposed bridge project a designated or proposed Wild and Scenic River segment or listed on the Nationwide Rivers Inventory?

Yes  No, not a designated or proposed waterway

#### If yes, list impacts and mitigation, summarize correspondence with the river-administering agency and cite location(s) (including page number(s) as appropriate) in the application package:

N/A, not a designated river

Click or tap here to enter text.

### **Coastal Barrier Resources Act -** The Coastal Barrier Resources Act (CBRA) established the Coastal Barrier Resources System and prohibits federal funding for building and development in undeveloped portions of designated coastal barriers, including the Great Lakes unless the project falls under an exception to the CBRA.

#### Does the proposed project connect to a unit of the Coastal Barrier Resources System (CBRS)?

Yes  No, there is no connection to any unit of the CBRS

#### If yes, summarize the CBRA-related impacts, proposed mitigation, and any U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) regional office consultation findings. Cite corresponding enclosure(s). Cite page number in environmental document, if applicable:

N/A, no connection to CBRS

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### If yes, and the project is federally funded, cite enclosure of Section 6 exception in the application package and any correspondence with the USFWS:

N/A, no connection to CBRS

Click or tap here to enter text.

### **Land and Water Conservation Fund Act -** Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act (LWCFA) assures that once an area has been funded with LWCFA assistance, it is continually maintained in public recreation use unless the National Park Service (NPS) approves substitution property of reasonably equivalent usefulness and location and of at least equal fair market value. The Secretary must approve all conversions of property acquired or developed with LWCFA assistance under this section to other than public outdoor recreation uses.

#### Does the proposed project involve a conversion of land or facilities funded under Section 6(f) of the LWCFA?

Yes  No, there are no impacts to any LWCFA properties

#### If yes, summarize and include correspondence with the NPS and authorization from the Secretary of the Interior for that conversion and cite enclosure title(s) (including page number(s) as appropriate):

N/A, no LWCFA properties impacted

Click or tap here to enter text.

### **National Marine Sanctuaries Act and Marine Protected Areas -** Section 304(d) of the National Marine Sanctuaries Act (16 U.S.C. § 1434(d)) requires interagency consultation between NOAA and federal agencies taking actions, including authorization of private activities, “likely to destroy, cause the loss of, or injure a sanctuary resource.”

#### Is the proposed project in or adjacent to a National Marine Sanctuary?

Yes  No, not located in or adjacent to a National Marine Sanctuary

#### If yes, list National Marine Sanctuaries Act coordination, date(s), and enclosure(s) and NOAA comment, if applicable:

#### N/A, not in or adjacent to a National Marine Sanctuary

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Is the proposed bridge(s) likely to destroy, cause loss of, or injure a resource of a National Marine Sanctuary?

Yes  No

#### If yes, summarize and include evidence of consultation with NOAA’s Office of National Marine Sanctuaries and the agency’s findings/conditions and cite location(s) (including page number(s) as appropriate) in the application package. If no, provide explanation:

N/A, proposed bridge is not likely to destroy, cause loss of, or injure a resource of a National Marine Sanctuary

Click or tap here to enter text.

### Executive Order 13158 on Marine Protected Areas requires all federal agencies whose actions affect the natural or cultural resources that are protected by a Marine Protected Area (MPA) to identify such actions and, to the extent permitted by law and to the maximum extent practicable, avoid harm to the natural and cultural resources that are protected by an MPA.

#### Is the proposed project in or adjacent to an MPA?

Yes  No, not located in or adjacent to an MPA

#### If yes, will the proposed project affect the natural or cultural resources that are protected by the MPA? (If no, provide evidence)

N/A, project is not in or adjacent to an MPA

Yes  No

#### If yes, include evidence of correspondence with the MPA Center, if applicable, and cite location(s) (including page number(s) as appropriate) in the application package:

N/A, proposed project does not affect natural or cultural resources that are protected by the MPA

Click or tap here to enter text.

### **Endangered Species Act and Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act** **-** Section 7 of the EndangeredSpecies Act of 1973 (ESA) (16 U.S.C. § 1531), as amended, requires each Federal agency to ensure that any action authorized, funded, or carried out by the agency is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any endangered or threatened species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat.

#### Are there federally designated threatened or endangered species and/or critical habitat in the area that the proposed project is located?

Yes  No there are no federally designated threatened or endangered species and/or critical habitat in the area of the proposed project

If no, provide explanation:

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### May the proposed project affect federally designated threatened or endangered species and/or critical habitat?

Yes  No, there are no potential impacts to protected species and/or critical habitat, nor is any consultation required

If no, provide explanation:

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### If yes, was there formal or informal consultation with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) or the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS)?

N/A

Formal consultation

Informal consultation

#### If formal, provide date(s) and attach biological assessment/evaluation, biological opinion, and any other relevant correspondence and cite location(s) (including page number(s) as appropriate) in application package:

N/A, formal consultation not required

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### If informal, provide dates and include correspondence or documented phone conversations with and from USFWS/NMFS and cite location(s) (including page number(s) as appropriate) in the application package:

N/A, informal consultation not required

Click or tap here to enter text.

### The Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (FWCA) (16 USC § 742, et seq.) provides the basic authority for the USFWS’ involvement in evaluating impacts to fish and wildlife from proposed water resource development projects. It requires that fish and wildlife resources receive equal consideration to other project features. It also requires Federal agencies that construct, license, or permit water resource development projects to first consult with the USFWS (and NMFS in some instances) and the State fish and wildlife agency regarding the impacts on fish and wildlife resources and measures to mitigate these impacts.

#### Describe any correspondence with and recommendations from USFWS, NMFS, and the relevant state wildlife agency regarding FWCA coordination and cite location(s), date(s) and enclosure(s) (including page number(s) as appropriate) in the application package:

None

Click or tap here to enter text.

### **Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act** **-** The Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act) (16 U.S.C. § 1855), as amended, requires Federal agencies which fund, permit, or carry out activities that may adversely impact Essential Fish Habitats (EFH) to consult with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) regarding potential adverse effects of actions on EFH.

#### Will the proposed project likely adversely affect designated EFH as defined in the Magnuson-Stevens Act?

Yes  No, there are no potential adverse impacts to designated EFH

If no, provide explanation:

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### If yes, identify location of EFH assessment and list relevant correspondence with NMFS in the application package.

N/A, no adverse effects on designated EFH

Click or tap here to enter text.

### **Marine Mammal Protection Act** **-** The Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) (16 USC § 1361, et seq.) prohibits, with certain exceptions, the take of marine mammals in U.S. waters and by U.S. citizens on the high seas, and the importation of marine mammals and marine mammal products into the U.S. If a take may occur, an Incidental Take Authorization may be necessary. The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) covers seals, sea lions, whales, dolphins and porpoises. Meanwhile, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) manages polar bears, the Pacific Walrus, Northern Sea Otters and the West Indian Manatee.

#### Describe any possibility of impacts to marine mammals. List MMPA coordination, date(s), enclosure(s), and NMFS or USFWS comment, if applicable. If coordination is not required, explain why:

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Does the proposed project involve a “take” of marine mammals as defined in the MMPA?

Yes  No, there are no “takes” of mammals protected by the MMPA

##### If yes, include the incidental harassment authorization or letter of authorization from NMFS, any relevant correspondence and summarize the impacts, proposed mitigation and NMFS regional office consultation findings:

#### N/A, no “take” of marine mammals protected by the MMPA

Click or tap here to enter text.

##### If no, explain why the project does not involve a “take” of marine mammals, including description of any preventative mitigations:

#### N/A, project involves a take as described above

Click or tap here to enter text.

### **Migratory Bird Treaty Act** **- Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) (16 U.S.C.** § **703-712) made it illegal to take any migratory bird, nest, egg, or part or any bird protected under the Act** except under the terms of a valid permit issued by the USFWS.

#### Describe any possibility of impacts to migratory birds. List MBTA coordination, date(s), and enclosure(s):

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Does the proposed project involve a potential take of migratory birds as defined in the MBTA?

Yes  No, there are no potential “takes” of birds protected by the MBTA

#### If no, provide explanation and describe any preventative mitigations:

#### N/A, project involves a take as described above

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### If yes, is a permit required?

Yes  No  N/A, no potential take

#### If a permit is required, include it and any correspondence with USFWS and cite location(s) (including page number(s) as appropriate) in the application package:

#### N/A, no permit required

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Have the project’s effects on migratory birds been evaluated in accordance with the executive order for the Responsibility of Federal Agencies to Protect Migratory Birds?

Yes  No  N/A, no effects on migratory birds

#### If yes, describe coordination with USFWS conducted in accordance with the executive order. Provide date(s), enclosure(s), and USFWS comment, if applicable. If no, explain why:

N/A, no coordination required

Click or tap here to enter text.

### **Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act** **-** The two species of eagles that are native to the United States have additional protection under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGEPA)(16 USC § 668-668c). USFWS may issue permits for the take, possession, or transport of bald or golden eagles, as well as their parts, nests, and eggs.

#### Describe any impacts to eagles, nests, parts, or eggs. List BGEPA coordination, date(s), and enclosure(s):

N/A, there are no impacts to Bald or Golden Eagles including nests, parts, or eggs protected by the BGEPA

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### May the proposed project take or disturb bald or golden eagles (including active and inactive nests) as defined in the BGEPA?

Yes  No

#### If no, provide explanation and describe any preventative mitigations:

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### If yes, is a permit required?

N/A, no potential take or disruption

Yes  No

#### If a permit is required, summarize the proposed mitigation and USFWS Regional Office consultation findings and cite location(s) (including page number(s) as appropriate) in the application package:

N/A, no permit required

Click or tap here to enter text.

### **Invasive Species** **-** Executive Order 13112 on Invasive Species requires all federal agencies whose actions may affect the status of invasive species to prevent the introduction of invasive species and not authorize, fund, or carry out actions that it believes are likely to cause or promote the introduction or spread of invasive species.

#### Does the proposed project have potential to introduce or foster the spread of invasive species?

Yes  No, there is no potential introduction or spread of invasive species

#### If yes, cite the document that describes measures that will be taken to minimize this risk and location(s) (including page number(s) as appropriate) in the application package:

N/A, no potential impacts from invasive species

Click or tap here to enter text.

### **Historical and Cultural Resources** **-** Federal agencies are required to take into account the effects of their undertaking on sites, structures, etc., protected by the historic and cultural resource laws and regulations identified in this section.

#### In accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (54 U.S.C. § 306108 et seq.), as amended, does the proposed project have potential to impact properties (including submerged abandoned shipwrecks) listed in or eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP)?

Yes  No

Identify any State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) and/or Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO) with oversight of the project

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### If yes, identify the documents that describe the effects and appropriate mitigation and provide evidence of consultation with the SHPO and/or THPO, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, if applicable, and cite location (s) (including enclosure names, and page number(s) as appropriate) in the application package. Please check all documents that are included and cite any corresponding enclosures:

N/A, no potential impacts to properties listed in or eligible for inclusion in the NRHP

Historic properties affected but no adverse effect determination, cite enclosure(s)

Click or tap here to enter text.

Historic properties adversely effected and Memorandum of Agreement or Programmatic Agreement completed, cite enclosure(s)

Click or tap here to enter text.

No historic properties effected determination, cite enclosure(s)

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### For projects involving federal lands also provide:

Archeological clearances, cite enclosure(s)

Click or tap here to enter text.

Archeological reports, cite enclosure(s)

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Provide any other information regarding Section 106 process, such as public meetings or unique information, and corresponding enclosure(s) (including page number(s) as appropriate):

None

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Does the proposed project have potential to involve Native American cultural items as identified by the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act?

Yes  No

##### If yes, please identify Tribal Nations that might be impacted, summarize impacts, identify any proposed mitigation, and summarize any consultation findings. Cite any corresponding enclosure(s) and National Park Service (NPS) comment, if applicable. Cite page number in environmental document, if applicable:

N/A, no potential involvement of resources as identified by the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Does the proposed project involve or have the potential to involve any Native American historic resources identified by the American Indian Religious Freedom Act of 1978?

Yes  No

##### If yes, please identify Tribal Nations that might be impacted, summarize impacts, identify any proposed mitigation, and summarize consultation findings. Cite any corresponding enclosure(s) and appropriate tribal consultation findings, if applicable. Cite page number in environmental document, if applicable:

N/A, no potential involvement of resources as identified by the American Indian Religious Freedom Act

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Does the proposed project involve or have the potential to involve a historic or prehistoric ruin or monument as identified by the Antiquities Act of 1906?

Yes  No

##### If yes, please summarize impacts, any proposed mitigation, and consultation findings. Cite any corresponding enclosure(s) and appropriate SHPO, NPS or other appropriate agency consultation findings, if applicable. Cite page number in environmental document, if applicable:

N/A, no potential impacts of resources as identified by the Antiquities Act

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Does the proposed project involve or have the potential to involve an archaeological resource or site identified by the Archeological Resources Protection Act of 1979?

Yes  No

##### If yes, please summarize impacts, any proposed mitigation, and consultation findings. Cite corresponding enclosure(s) and appropriate SHPO, NPS or other appropriate agency consultation findings, if applicable. Cite page number in environmental document, if applicable:

N/A, no potential involvement of resources as identified by the Archeological Resources Protection Act

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Does the proposed project involve or have the potential to involve a shipwreck as identified by the Abandoned Shipwreck Act?

Yes  No

##### If yes, please summarize impacts, any proposed mitigation, and consultation findings. Cite any corresponding enclosure(s) and appropriate SHPO, NPS or other appropriate agency consultation findings, if applicable. Cite page number in environmental document, if applicable:

N/A, no potential involvement of resources as identified by the Abandoned Shipwreck Act

Click or tap here to enter text.

### **Clean Air Act -** Section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act (CAA)(42 U.S.C. § 7401, as amended), prevents the Coast Guard from approving any project or from issuing any permit for actions not conforming to the provisions of an approved Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) or to a State Implementation Plan (SIP).

#### Is the project in an area of maintenance or nonattainment for each of the criteria pollutants in the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)?

Yes  No

#### If project occurs in a nonattainment or maintenance area, do the transportation or general conformity regulations, or both, apply?

N/A, project does not occur in a nonattainment or maintenance area

General  Transportation

#### Is the project exempt from a transportation conformity analysis for any of the reasons listed in 40 CFR § 93.126?

Yes  No

##### If yes, identify the reason(s):

N/A, project is not exempt from a transportation conformity analysis

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### Is the project exempt from a general conformity analysis for any of the reasons listed in 40 CFR § 93.153(c)?

Yes  No

##### If yes, identify the reason(s):

N/A, project is not exempt from a general conformity analysis

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### If general conformity applies, is the project listed in a conforming State Implementation Plan?

Yes  No  N/A, general conformity does not apply

#### If a general conformity determination was prepared, include the draft and final determinations and any relevant correspondence and cite their title (including page number(s) as appropriate) in the application package:

N/A, a general conformity determination was not prepared

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### If transportation conformity applies, is the project listed in a conforming State Implementation Plan (SIP), Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), or Federal Implementation Plan (FIP)?

N/A, transportation conformity does not apply

#### Yes No

##### If yes, identify the plan and cite location of information regarding listing in the application package (including page number(s) as appropriate):

N/A, transportation conformity does not apply so project is not listed in any SIP, TIP, RTP or FIP

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### If transportation conformity applies, does the project contribute to any new localized CO, PM10, or PM2.5 violations or increase the frequency or severity or any existing violations of the same?

N/A, transportation conformity does not apply

Yes  No

##### If yes, cite enclosure title and (including page number(s) as appropriate):

N/A, transportation conformity does not apply and project does not contribute to any violations

Click or tap here to enter text.

### **Hazardous Materials, Substances and Wastes**

#### Does the proposed project involve or is it located near a Superfund site or impact, or have the potential to impact any site regulated under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) or State law regulating hazardous materials, substances or wastes?

Yes  No

#### If yes, describe the involvement and cite the location(s) (including page number(s) as appropriate) in the NEPA or other document where hazardous materials, substances or wastes are discussed:

Click or tap here to enter text.

#### If no, provide any additional pertinent information and cite any analysis conducted:

Click or tap here to enter text.

### **[RESERVED]**

#### On 21 January 2025, President Trump signed Executive Order 14173 (Ending Illegal Discrimination and Restoring Merit-Based Opportunity).  EO 14173 revoked EO 12898 (Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations).  As a result of the revocation and in alignment with DHS, environmental justice will not be considered as part of any USCG permit action.

#### Additionally, President Trump signed Executive Order (E.O.) 14148, Initial Rescissions of Harmful Executive Orders and Actions. E.O. 14148 rescinded the following Executive Orders E.O. 14008, Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad; E.O. 14013, Rebuilding and Enhancing Programs to Resettle Refugees and Planning for the Impact of Climate Change on Migration; E.O. 14027, Establishment of the Climate Change Support Office; E.O. 14030, Climate-Related Financial Risk; and E.O. 13990, Protecting Public Health and the Environment and Restoring Science to Tackle the Climate Crisis. In alignment with the rescission of the Executive Orders listed, the USCG has eliminated the use of climate change terminology in its application.